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In a nutshell

Information structural devices in Tima

- a-centre (cf. Himmelmann and Primus 2015) (sentence-initial position)
- focus marker & **selective marker** (clitics)

**The focus marker**
The focus marker in Tima seems to be exhaustive; it excludes other than the current alternatives.

**The selective marker** (Schneider-Blum 2018)
- The selective marker signals alternatives.
- At first sight, it may appear as another focus marker in Tima, since it occurs in questions and oppositions.
- However, we will show that the selective marker occurs in a variety of contexts that are not relevant for focus. In addition, it can co-occur with the focus marker.
- The two markers show how alternatives are relevant for discourse structuring in two distinct but interacting ways.
Relevant notions
Focus and other relevant notions

The concepts are based on alternatives (Krifka 1993; Rooth 1992)

1) **Focus**
   “Focus indicates the presence of alternatives that are relevant for the interpretation of linguistic expressions.” (Krifka 2007: 18)
   Tim went shopping this morning.
   Other people who may have gone shopping: {Ewa, Tom, Mike, Renata, ...}

2) **Contrast**
   The current alternative is compared to another explicit/implicit alternative; the proposition cannot hold for both at the same time.
   (Usually, Tim makes dinner.) Tonight, Lea made dinner.

3) **Selection**
   The current alternative is compared to another set of explicit alternatives which it is part of; the proposition can only hold for the current alternative.
   Do you want tea or coffee? Tea.
Focus and other relevant notions

(4) **Correction**
“d2 rejects d1 because certain background assumptions for the felicitous use of d1 are not met, or because the propositions associated with d1 and d2 cannot both be true in the evaluation world.” (Repp 2016).
*Lea did not make dinner, Tim made dinner.*

(5) **Opposition**
“The proposition associated with d2 and the proposition associated with d1 can both be true in the evaluation world; d1 and d2 make opposing contributions to the current question under discussion” (Repp 2016).
*Lea went shopping, Tim visited his parents.*

(6) **Similarity**
“The proposition associated with d2 and the proposition associated with d1 can both be true in the evaluation world; d1 and d2 make the same kind of contribution to the current question under discussion” (Repp 2016).
*I made the muffins and I also made the cake.*
Focus marking in Tima
The Tima focus marker

Exponents of the focus marker

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1/2/3 PRO</th>
<th>proper nouns</th>
<th>oblique</th>
<th>ERG A</th>
<th>unmarked S/A/O</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SG</td>
<td>=(G)ʌ/=(G)a</td>
<td></td>
<td>=li/=lI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL</td>
<td>=(y)e/=(y)ɛ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Contexts that license the focus marker

- answers to wh-questions and alternative questions
- contrast
- correction

These contexts all seem to involve exhaustive focus, i.e. the proposition can only hold for the current alternative.
Answers to *wh*-questions

(7)  A: Who did he see?  
     B: käpíŋ=á  ùkúmùn (mìnà) (tììn)  
       Kaping=FOC 3P:saw  ERG3SG only  
       ‘He saw (only) Kaping.’

Answers to alternative questions

(8)  A: Is this a sheep or a goat?  
     B: káŋàl=lí,  kí=címìi=lí=ìŋ  
       sheep=FOC NEG=goat=FOC=NEG  
       ‘A sheep (it’s not a goat).’
Contrast & Correction

(9) \(k_{ápí}^{\downarrow \eta=á, \text{móók}=àt\á\eta, \text{iídí}=yábúh, \text{kí}=\eta=ít̪\á\eta=\acute{\Lambda}=\dot{\Lambda}}\)

Kaping=\textbf{FOC} 3p:drank=compl water meat \text{NEG=ERG=Ithang=FOC=NEG}

‘It was Kaping who ate the soup, not Ithang.’

(10) A: Kokuung ate soup.
B: \(?á?à, \text{àká}=\text{wá, ùmóók}\text{ iídí}=\downarrow \text{yábúh}, \text{yábúh}=\acute{\varepsilon} \text{ i}\text{ká}^{\downarrow} \text{lúk no} \) Aka=\textbf{FOC} 3p:drank water meat meat=\textbf{FOC} 3p:ate
\(\text{ñ}=\text{kókùúñ} \text{ ERG=Kokuung} \)

‘No, it was Aka who had soup, Kokuung ate meat.’

(11) A: Who did he see?
B: He saw (only) Kaping.
C: \(?á?à, \text{kí}=\eta=\text{ká}^{\downarrow} \text{lí}=\acute{\text{y}̊}=\dot{\Lambda}, \text{úkúmùn màdàk ît̪\á\eta} \text{ no} \text{NEG=INS=truth=FOC=NEG 3p:saw also Ithang} \)

‘No, this is not the truth, he also saw Ithang.’
The selective marker in Tima

=$(G)I$
At first sight, the selective marker appears to be a focus marker in non-exhaustive contexts:

- It indicates existing alternatives.
- The alternatives can be explicit or implicit.
- There is no restriction that would only evaluate the proposition containing the current alternative as true.

It typically occurs in

- wh-questions
- marking modified nouns (e.g. with possessives, specifying adjectives, etc.)
- different types of alternative-sensitive contexts
- subordinate clauses (especially relative clauses)
- marking oppositions on the level of discourse relations
The selective marker \((G)i\) in \(\text{wh}\)-questions

**Object question**

(12) \(\text{yèé}^\dagger \text{mé} \ úkùmùn \ mίn\lambda=\text{yì}\)?

who 3p:saw ERG3SG=SEL

‘Who did he see?’

(animate)

(13) \(\text{mà} \ \text{à-pé}\lambda \ \text{mà} \ \text{à-mòók}=i\)

what 2sg-want what 2sg-drink=SEL

‘What do you like to drink?’

(inanimate)

**Subject question**

(14) \(\text{yèé}^\dagger \text{mé} \ őkòyò \ kùrtú=yí)?

who 3p:did house=SEL

‘Who built the house?’

(implicit alternatives)

(15) \(\text{yèé}^\dagger \text{mé} \ ícóó \ kirkì=yí)?

who 3p:pierced lie=SEL

‘Who (of you two people standing in front of us) lied?’

(explicit set of alternatives)
The selective marker with nominal modifiers

The selective marker is systematically used with modified nouns expressing referents selected from a set of alternatives.

**Possessives**

(16) kùrtú lááη=í à-máĺ
    house your=SEL STAT.SG-nice
    ‘Your house is nice.’

**Other specifying modifiers**

(17) iháwòk=ɪ=ɣé, mák kùlná=nà ɪ=ɪlùbá=ɣɪ əbɔ̀ːr úkóɣɔ ɲ=ihwáà
    many=SEL=FOC but feast=DEM DIR=seeds=SEL still 3P:do ERG=people
    ‘There are many, but the harvesting feast is still celebrated.’

(18) bɔ̀mɔ́l=η nó ʊ=kɔ̀nɔ̀kɔ́ ŋú=kùhùl=ɪ à-tààtàn
    goodness=DEM DIR=future DIR=government=SEL STAT.SG-short:COMPL
    á=bɔ̀mɔ́l=η nó ʊ=kɔ̀nɔ̀kɔ́ ŋót=ihwáà=ɣɪ
    SOURCE=goodness=DEM DIR=future DIR=people=SEL
    ‘The fate of the government is less important (shorter) than the fate of mankind.’
The selective marker \( (G)i \) marking selections

If not uttered as an answer to a specific question, but narrated, the selective marker can occur to mark the selected alternative out of an explicit set of alternatives.

(19) \[ \text{àlkáyíŋ íhú ìhíík, màk kúkólóŋ=}í àm-búlú\textsuperscript{+}k=áţán} \]

\[ \text{last.year giraffes two but big=}\text{SEL} \quad \text{3PRF-die=}\text{COMPL} \]

‘Last year, there were two giraffes, but the old one has died.’

(20) \[ \text{ìhwáà íháwù́k ìdíyáň} ñtì=kìhí=\textsuperscript{+}ná} \quad \text{kù=bùlá=}\text{yí, íná \quad íbe?=ë=}í \]

\[ \text{people many \quad 3P:came PREP=}\text{place=}\text{DEM death=}\text{SEL, \quad PL:DEM few=}\text{SEL} \]

\[ \text{ìdíyáň} \quad \text{tùrì} \]

\[ \text{3P:brought food} \]

‘Many people came to the funeral, a few brought food with them.’
The selective marker \((G)i\) marking oppositions

If it is the speakers intention to express the opposition of two alternatives that belong to the same type on a higher taxonomic level, the selective marker is used.

(21) \(\text{kùhùnàŋ} \ \text{iwârmáádëh} \ \text{ńcë} \ \text{kóyó} \ \etañàŋ\text{=}ná \ \text{hárá=}\text{yì}, \ \text{yâhùnén} \ \text{ńkòyó} \ \etañàŋ\text{=}ná\)

\begin{align*}
\text{today} & \quad \text{men} & \quad \text{cop} & \quad \text{do} & \quad \text{work=} & \text{DEM} & \quad \text{far=} & \text{SEL} & \quad \text{women} & \quad \text{3P:do} & \quad \text{work=} & \text{DEM} \\
\text{kimètēn=} & \quad \text{i} & \quad \near= & \text{SEL} \\
\end{align*}

‘Nowadays, men work far, women work near.’

(22) \(\text{yâhùnén=}nà \ \text{hölàk} \ \text{ihiik=}^+ná, \ \text{kítíín=}^+i \ \text{hölàk} \ \text{tàpák}, \ \text{kítíín=}^+i \ \text{hölàk} \ \text{hirhir}\)

\begin{align*}
\text{women=} & \quad \text{DEM} & \quad \text{stay} & \quad \text{two=} & \quad \text{DEM} & \quad \text{one=} & \text{SEL} & \quad \text{stay} & \quad \text{IDEO} & \quad \text{one=} & \text{SEL} & \quad \text{stay} & \quad \text{IDEO} \\
\end{align*}

‘These two women are sitting, one on her bottom, the other one crouching (on her toes).’

This extends to oppositions on the discourse level:

Example (23) was uttered concluding a discourse segment with “the saussage tree” as QUD.

(23) \(\text{tàmáá=}^+ná \ \text{ù=}kâłyáwù\text{=}\text{wì} \ \text{ñjó} \ \etañá\)

\begin{align*}
\text{talk=} & \quad \text{DEM} & \quad \text{DIR=} & \text{sausage.tree=} & \text{SEL} & \quad \text{cop} & \quad \text{here} \\
\end{align*}

‘This is what can be said about the sausage tree.’
The selective marker \((G)i\) marking oppositions

With spatial relations that have implicit, context-independent alternatives (e.g. cardinal points), the use of the selective marker has been conventionalised.

The informant was shown two pictures, one with a lion and one with a horse. He was asked to say where the horse is and where the lion is.

(24) \(kàmpìŋ=li\ dùwá \ ảyìñt̪àli=nà\ \ i=kàwúh-i\)
    lion=FOC stand in.front.of=DEM DIR=stone=SEL
    ‘The lion is standing in front of a stone.’

Example (25) was elicited without a preceding question.

(25) \(còɔřò \ ǹkó \ nàhì \ ǝ=pàŋkà=yí\)
    basket cop ground DIR=table=SEL
    ‘The basket is underneath the table.’
The selective marker \((G)i\) in subordinate clauses

The selective marker also occurs at the edge of subordinate clauses.

(26) \(\text{cíciói}=nà\ \text{[ŋ̀-kéél}^{\uparrow}\text{káá}=yáŋ={\uparrow}\text{ná }\text{i}={\uparrow}\text{bá́ŋ}=i]\ \text{à-kwò́lón}\)  
goat=DEM P-sell:INS=LOC3P=ERG1SG DIR=friend=SEL STAT.SG-big  
‘The goat which I sold to the friend is big.’

(27) \(\text{kóyò́ dàrk̀énà [a-pó́lá máà-kóyò́}=yí], \text{ká=à-híyànà=á=dá=áŋ}\)  
do like 2SG-want OPT2SG-do=SEL NEG=2SG-ask:INS=1SG=NEG  
‘Do what you want to do, but don’t ask me.’

(28) \(\text{háámì́t kúùh à-rò́r=yáŋ, [ŋ̀kó́ó}=nó díí{k-áá}=yí}\)  
Hamid bone STAT.SG-bad=LOC3P because walk-INS=SEL  
‘Hamid is sad, because he is leaving.’

Possibly, this is an extension from its use with nouns having phrasal modifiers to nouns having clausal modifiers.

\(^{ν}\) This could account for the fact that the marker systematically occurs with relative and adverbial clauses.
The selective marker $(G)i$ marking similarities

The selective marker is also used to indicate two alternatives that make similar contributions to the current question under discussion.

(29) kùlná=nà ù=kúú=yí nà kùlná=ná i=iحو kell=i jì=ihwáá feast=DEM DIR=dog=SEL and feast=DEM DIR=birds=SEL ERG=people kàrkàmà=nà ʊkóyɔ, ádí kùlná=nà i=iłùbá=yí Kàrkàmà=DEM 3P:did also feast=DEM DIR=seeds=SEL ‘The feast of the dog and the feast of the bird were organized by the Kàrkàmà clan, also the feast of the seeds.’

(30) ɪhwáá=nà hàmbèr=i ʊcè kóyɔ kùlná=nà i=ímìí=yí ná people=DEM Hàmbèr=SEL cop do feast=DEM DIR=goats=SEL and kùlná=nà yàŋàl=i feast=DEM sheep=SEL ‘The Hàmbèr organized the feast of the goat and that of the sheep.’
If two or more explicit alternatives are contrasted (and not only opposed), the selective marker co-occurs with the focus marker:

(31) lùwi le`en=i ƙòkòlòñ=i ƙòko kuń, kú=lùwi le`en=i kòtò?èn=i=li=ìn
sister my=SEL big=SEL COP give.birth NEG=sister my=SEL small=SEL=FOC=NEG
‘My eldest sister gave birth, not my younger one.’

(32) kùlá ihwáá=+ná i̱há+wúk=i=yé ƙ=ŋwàndò̀̀; ínà i̱há+wúk=i ƙòkwéé idek,
yesterday, people=DEM many=SEL=FOC DIR=road PL:DEM many=SEL 3P:held necks
ibe?èn=i ípàkàkà yàwùh
few=SEL 3P:threw:AP:INS stones
Yesterday, lots of people were in the streets. While most of them were peaceful (did nothing), some threw stones.

(33) ihwáá=+ná á=pàrná=yí i̱hiná=yé í-cò̀, ihwáá=+ná
people=DEM source=wilderness=SEL PRON3PL=FOC STAT.PL-right people=DEM
ùtì=tìin=i i̱hiná=yé iyírkì
PREP=inside=SEL PRON3PL=FOC liars
‘People from the countryside are honest, town’s people are liars.’
Discussion
Focus marker
- answers to wh-questions
- contrastive constructions
- corrections
(exhaustivity seems to play a role)

Selective marker
- wh-questions
- alternatives with modified nouns
  - selections
  - oppositions (also on discourse level)
  - similarities
  - marking subordinate clauses

Both the focus marker and the selective marker indicate alternatives:

The focus-marked constituent not only highlights the alternative in question, but at the same time excludes other alternatives.

Focus marking can be understood as emphatic in the sense of Zimmermann (2007): The speaker suspects that the hearer will be surprised by the assertion of the current alternative.

The selective-marked constituent highlights the current alternative and relates it to a set of alternatives (without excluding them).

The other alternatives can, but do not have to be relevant in the given context.
Concluding remarks & outlook

Alternatives, the focus & selective marker in Tima

- Tima is a showcase of how alternatives are relevant for information structure and discourse structure on different levels.
- Highlighting alternatives may be a function of focus, but it is not restricted to focus.
- Therefore, the highlighting of alternatives deserves to be treated as a phenomenon independent of focus.

Outlook
The next steps involve investigating the interaction between the selective marker and:

- tones and prosodic patterns
- word order and certain syntactic structures (e.g. clefts)
Thank you!


A-centre

- grammatical, conventionalized correlate of attentional centres (Himmelmann and Primus 2015)
- in the case of Tima, the sentence-initial position is the a-centre
- i.e. the first argument in the sentence can be the topic; but we would like to argue for a broader attention-lending function of the sentence-initial position
- by default, the A argument occurs in that position (1):

\[
(1) \quad \text{wɛ́ɛ́n} \quad \text{àŋ-káţiík} \quad \text{cíbà}
\]

mother 3PRF-lie.CAUS child

\[
\text{A} \quad \text{V} \quad \text{O}
\]

‘The mother has put the child to sleep.’

- if the P argument is intended as the attentional centre, it can occur in the sentence-initial position with a postverbal A argument that is additionally ERG-marked (2):

\[
(2) \quad \text{cíbà} \quad \text{àŋ-káţiík} \quad \text{̄} = \text{wɛ́ɛ́n}
\]

child 3PRF-lie.CAUS ERG=mother

\[
\text{O} \quad \text{V} \quad \text{A}
\]

‘The child has been put to sleep by the mother.’
The selective marker does not necessarily occur with all oppositions (in the sense of discourse structure). For instance, if answering a question, we find the focus marker:

(34) háámít kày kàrtú=ľí mák yúsíf kày ɲáhàn=ľí
Hamid the.one labour=FOC but Yusif the.one laziness=FOC
‘Hamid works hard, but Yusif is lazy.’

(35) wòdóŋ iwármáádǝ́=é díík ɪkàtùkwéél, i hàhúnén ŋɔ́kɔ́yɔ́ ɲùnáŋ wúdú kùrtú
formerly men=FOC walk DIR=hunting women 3P:did work beneath house
‘In former times, men went hunting, women worked in the gardens.’

Oppositions can also be made in parallel constructions containing neither the selective nor the focus marker:

(36) wàrtǝ́máádǝ́h, án-dìnè née kàmùrʈàá; kàhúnén án-dị́nè née ájàlà
man 3PRF-climb onto horse woman 3PRF-climb onto bicycle
‘The man, he has climbed onto the horse, the woman, she has climbed onto the bicycle.’